

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(204)

CRM-M-14747-2020

Date of decision: 24.08.2020

Ayush Jain

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present:- Mr. Viren Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab
for respondent/State.

Mr. Imran Ahmad, Advocate with
Mr. Bhupinder Banga, Advocate
for the complainant.

...

SUDIP AHLUWALIA. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner in compliance of the order dated 11.06.2020 has since joined investigation.

2. The bail prayer is however opposed on behalf of the Learned counsel for the complainant.

3. From the receipts placed on record by the petitioner (Annexure P-1 collectively), it appears that an amount of Rs.50,000/- was received by him towards consultancy fee, which according to condition No. 3 of the terms and conditions, was itself non-refundable.

4. There is no document available to show how much money was actually paid by the complainant to the petitioner.

5. In such circumstances this does not appear to be a fit case for detention of the petitioner at this stage. Consequently the interim bail granted to the petitioner by a Co-ordinate Bench on 11.06.2020 is made absolute.
6. Disposed off.

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)

JUDGE

24.08.2020

Pardeep

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No