

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-14584-2020
Date of Decision: 25.06.2020**

Pawan ... Petitioner
v/s
State of Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, DAG Haryana.
* * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has prayed for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 103 dated 17.4.2020 registered under Section 306 IPC, 1860 at Police Station Lakhan Majra, District Rohtak.

Briefly, the FIR has been registered on the basis of the statement of Rohit alleging that the marriage of his sister Ritu was solemnized with Pawan-petitioner in February, 2012. The petitioner has been insisting for matrimonial alliance of the cousin of the complainant with the cousin of the petitioner. However, the complainant was not agreeable to such proposal of matrimonial alliance. On that count, the petitioner along with Saurabh, Jaibir and Saurabh's mother used to pressurize the deceased and gave beatings to her. The deceased narrated the matter to the complainant on many occasions. On 17.4.2020, the complainant received the intimation with regard to commission of suicide by Ritu, deceased.

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the matrimonial alliance was sought for Saurabh who along with Jaibir and his mother have been declared innocent by the police. Furthermore, the

father of Ritu, deceased has died inter-state and there was dispute between the deceased and her brothers on account of property of the father of the deceased which came to her share. The brothers of the deceased were forcing her to transfer the share received by her in their favour.

It has further been argued that there is no allegation of harassment during the 8 years of marriage. There was no suicide note recorded by the deceased prior to her death though there were injury marks on the leg and on the lower limbs of the deceased but the same were superficial in nature.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the factual aspect of the matter. Concededly, the investigation of the case is complete and challan has already been presented against the petitioner under Section 306 IPC.

Considering the above and the fact that conclusion of trial is likely to consume some time, further detention of the petitioner may not be justified. Therefore, without making any expression of opinion on the merits of the case, it is ordered that the petitioner be released on regular bail subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

The petition is allowed.

25.06.2020

Janki

**(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether reportable : Yes/No*