

118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7909 of 2020

Date of decision: June 09, 2020

Sunita Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Amit Kohar, Advocate for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/ 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to submit her original documents for scrutiny and to take part in the interview, viva voce etc., scheduled to be held w.e.f. 15.06.2020 to 19.06.2020 for the post of Staff Nurse.

At the outset, instead of pressing the petition on merits, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he would be satisfied in case the representation dated 12.03.2020 (P-9) submitted by the petitioner, is decided by the competent authority expeditiously.

Prayer seems to be justified.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Shruti Jain, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Learned State counsel is also not averse to the

innocuous prayer made by the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the case, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the present petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representation dated 12.03.2020 (P-9) filed by the petitioner, if the same is pending, in accordance with law expeditiously and preferably within two weeks.

Ordered accordingly.

Needless to say that if some adverse decision is taken against the petitioner, she would be at liberty to take recourse to the remedy available under law including before this Court.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

June 09, 2020

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No