

AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.7936 of 2020

Date of Decision: 30.07.2020

SATNAM SINGH AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

Versus

HARYANA SHAHRI VIKAS PRADHIKARAN AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Vijay Pal , Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Priyavrat Prasher, Advocate for the HUDA
for respondents No.1 to 3.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 on specific instructions submits that the petitioners in the present case have already been relieved since February, 2020, as their services were not required, and further submits as an undertaking on behalf of the said respondents that the petitioners will not be replaced with any other contractual/irregular employees.

[2]. In relation to the submission of Ld. Counsel for the petitioners, who draws attention of this Court to Annexures P-3 and Annexure P-8, learned Counsel for the respondents submits that these are actually internal communications in the establishment of respondent No.1, and have no concern with the claim of the present petitioners.

[3]. In view of the aforesaid submission made by Ld. Counsel

for respondent Nos. 1 to 3, the writ petition is disposed off as having been rendered infructuous with a rider that no contractual or any other irregular employees shall be engaged by the said respondents against the present petitioners, in view of their own undertaking to the said effect.

[4]. The petitioners are at liberty to submit their representations before the Respondent's Authorities, if they have any other grievance, apart from having been relieved from their assignments.

30.07.2020

Ali

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No