

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.7918 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : June 09, 2020**

Deepak Chauhan

..... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

The petition has been taken for hearing through video conference due to Covid-19 pandemic.

In the present writ petition, the grievance which is being raised by the petitioner is that the respondent had not published the final result showing the merit and marks secured by each selected candidate as well as the non-selected candidates, due to which the whole selection stands vitiated. Further, prayer which has been made by the petitioner is that as per the self assessment which the petitioner has done with regard to the marks secured by him keeping in view of the criteria fixed for the selection, the petitioner should have secured more marks than the last selected candidate and therefore, the rights of the petitioner have been prejudiced as far as the selection to the post of Junior Engineer (Electrical) is concerned, which was advertised, vide Advertisement No.10/2019, dated 15.06.2019. Prayer of the petitioner is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for grant of appointment to him as Junior Engineer (Electrical).

Counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been sought in the present writ petition, petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 24.02.2020 (Annexure P-11) to the Secretary, Haryana Subordinate Staff Selection Commission, which is still pending consideration with the respondent and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, if a time bound direction is issued to the respondent to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in accordance with law.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, who has also joined proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the State and raises no objection in deciding the claim of the petitioner as being made in the representation dated 24.02.2020 (Annexure P-11) in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order as per the settled principle of law.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or on the claim being made by the petitioner, respondent is directed to decide the representation dated 24.02.2020 (Annexure P-11), by passing a speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

June 09, 2020

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No