

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.: 306

FAO No.3171 of 1996

Date of Decision: *March 06, 2020*

Sudesh Kumari

..... APPELLANT

VERSUS

Nanak Singh & others

..... RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH**

. . .

PRESENT: - None for the appellant.

None for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate, for respondent No.3 –
Insurance Company.

. . .

Sant Parkash, J (Oral)

As per the office report, service is not complete as appellant and
private respondents are not residing at the given address.

It appears that the appellants are not interested in pursuing the
matter.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Sant Parkash)
Judge

March 06, 2020

avin

Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:

Yes/ No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/ No