

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

**CRM-M No.14403 of 2020
Date of Decision: 01.07.2020**

AKASHDEEP SINGH ALIAS SABA

Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S.Sullar, D.A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.154 dated 8.9.2019 registered under Section 307, 148, 149 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station Gharinda District Amritsar.

On 9.6.2020, the following order was passed by this Court:

“The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the

petitioner seeks regular bail in his second attempt. Petitioner has relied upon an affidavit filed by the complainant to the effect that the he does not wish to take any action against the accused/petitioner. Though this affidavit was available at the time of withdrawal of first petition, but the same could not be relied upon by the petitioner on earlier occasion.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that though the allegations are of firing two gun shots hitting Joginder Singh on his back and Jaskaran Singh on his right arm, but no weapon has been recovered from the petitioner.

Learned State counsel seeks short adjournment in order to verify the aforesaid fact from the record.

Adjourned to 18.06.2020.”

In compliance of the aforesaid order, learned State counsel states that no weapon has been recovered from the petitioner. As per the allegations in the FIR, petitioner fired two shots from his 12 bore gun upon father of the complainant and his cousin Jaskaran Singh. Father of the complainant was hit on his back side and Jaskaran Singh was hit on his right arm. Complainant Jarmanjit Singh has entered into a compromise with the petitioner on 4.11.2019. Though the factum of compromise was available at the

time of withdrawal of first petition, but the same could not be relied upon by the petitioner. Fact remains that after filing of the challan charges have been framed, but no prosecution witness has been examined so far. Petitioner is in custody since 14.9.2019.

In view of aforesaid factual position on record particularly owing to the circumstances arising out of pandemic Covid-19, I deem it appropriate to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed. Petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/concerned Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

July 01, 2020
anita

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No