

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14338-2020

Date of Decision: 17.09.2020

Balwinder Singh and another

....petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Harneet Singh Oberoi, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harpreet Singh Multani, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up through Video Conferencing due to prevailing situation of COVID-19.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that there was a land dispute between the petitioners and respondent No.4/his LR's which has been finally decided upto the stage of first appellate Court and till date, the petitioners have not received any notice regarding pendency of RSA, they being caveators.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has further made submission that the petitioners have already given application/representation dated 11.5.2020 (Annexure P-3) to SSP, Tarn Taran, but no action has been taken on the said representation by the concerned police officer. So the request is made by the learned counsel for the petitioners that direction be given to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the said representation in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4, on the other hand, has contended that even his representation is also pending before respondent No.2 and the same be also taken into consideration by the said police officer in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 has also submitted that regular second appeal has already been filed but the same has not been listed so far.

In the light of the aforesaid, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners as well as the representation, if any, already moved by respondent No.4, i.e., LRs of Jaswinder Singh in accordance with law within next one month of the receipt of this order.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression on the merits of the civil litigation.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

17.09.2020

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/non-speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No