

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14357-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision:06.07.2020

Baljeet Singh & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

....

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the Pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

Petitioners seek regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.456, dated 21.10.2019, under Sections 379-A/201/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-5, Panchkula.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

Prosecution version is that one Smt. Santosh Thakral was assaulted and robbed certain valuables i.e. one purse containing senior citizen card, revenue tickets, cash amount of Rs.1000/- and keys of her house by three persons on 21.10.2019.

The present petitioners have been sought to be implicated in this case on the basis of a disclosure statement allegedly suffered by them while in custody having been implicated in a separate FIR.

Petitioners were arrested on 21.11.2019.

Challan in the case has already been presented.

Trial is at the very initial stage and would take time to conclude.

The evidentiary value of the disclosure suffered by the petitioners having been arrested in a separate case would be a moot question to be considered by the trial Court.

Keeping in view the length of incarceration already suffered and without making any observations on merits, petitioners are held entitled to the benefit of bail.

Petitioners be enlarged on bail subject to their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Panchkula.

Disposed of.

06.07.2020

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |