

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

**CRWP No.3482 of 2020 (O&M)
Decided on: 08.06.2020**

Rimpy Rani and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr. Kamaldeep Singh Sodhi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been taken up by way of video conference as per instructions in view of COVID-19 pandemic.

CRM-W-326-2020

The Court fees/process fee/Advocate Welfare Stamp shall be deposited the moment the instructions are modified as such and physical presence is allowed. It is made clear that in case the needful is not done, the order shall be liable to be recalled at the detriment of parties.

CRWP No.3482 of 2020

In the petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners seek directions to provide protection to the life and liberty from the private respondents No.4 to 8, who are relatives of petitioner No.1, on account of their marriage which has taken place on 31.05.2020 as per Hindu Rites and Rituals in Prachin Bhagwan Valmiki Kendriya Mandir Dharamshala Charitable Trust (Regd.), Purana Malsian

Road, Moh. Guru Nanak Pura, Nakodar, District Jalandhar. The marriage certificate and photographs of the marriage have been appended as Annexures P-3 and P-4, respectively.

The petitioners are stated to be major, as the date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 25.05.2002 as per Aadhaar Card (Annexure P-1) and the date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 12.12.1992 as per Aadhaar Card (Annexure P-2).

A representation dated 31.05.2020 (Annexure P-5) has also been filed with respondents No.2 in this regard.

Notice of motion.

Mr. SPS Tinna, Additional AG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State. Copy of the paper-book be supplied to him by way of e-mail today itself.

After hearing counsel for the petitioners, without commenting upon the validity/legality of the marriage or the age of the petitioners and in view of the binding precedent of the **Hon'ble Apex Court in Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another 2006(2) SCC (Criminal) 478**, the present petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2 to look into the above said representation filed by the petitioners and take appropriate action in accordance with law and provide protection, if so required.

JUNE 08, 2020
Parveen

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No