

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14229 of 2020 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 05.06.2020

Udeek Singh @ Tinku

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**

Present: Mr. P.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

**H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)**

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of the State.

Heard.

Vide impugned order, while directing release of vehicle Ertiga Maruti on superdari to the applicant, Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Barnala has asked for furnishing superdari bonds in the sum of Rs.4 lacs along with bank guarantee in the similar amount. The applicant is aggrieved by the direction to furnish the bank guarantee.

After hearing learned counsel for the applicant and State counsel, I find that keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the direction with regard to furnishing of bank guarantee is a little harsh. Therefore, the instant petition is accepted. Resultantly, the impugned order is modified to the extent that instead of applicant

furnishing bank guarantee in the sum of Rs.4 lacs, he shall furnish surety in the like amount. The surety should be sound one, having sufficient proof of immovable properties to that extent, situated within the jurisdiction of the Court.

05.06.2020

*sumit.k*

**(H.S. MADAAN)**  
**JUDGE**

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |