

104 (9 cases)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 7747 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.06.2020

VIJAY MALIK ... Petitioner
VS.
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, SOHNA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CWP No. 7748 of 2020 (O&M)

KISHORE VASHDEW JAGTIANI AND ANOTHER ... Petitioners
VS.
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, SOHNA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CWP No. 7749 of 2020 (O&M)

VINOD PRATAP SINGH RATHORE ... Petitioner
VS.
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, SOHNA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CWP No. 7750 of 2020 (O&M)

YATISH KUMAR SHARMA ... Petitioner
VS.
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, SOHNA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CWP No. 7751 of 2020 (O&M)

MUNISH KUMAR AND ANOTHER ... Petitioners
VS.
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, SOHNA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CWP No. 7752 of 2020 (O&M)

RAMPAL SUHAG ... Petitioner
VS.
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, SOHNA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CWP No. 7753 of 2020 (O&M)

DEEPIKA NARULA AND OTHERS ... Petitioners
VS.
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, SOHNA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CWP No. 7754 of 2020 (O&M)

M/S ARIHANT CREATIVE TEXTILES ... Petitioner
VS.
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, SOHNA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CWP No. 7804 of 2020 (O&M)

VISHWAS MAKHIJA

... Petitioner

VS.

MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, SOHNA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN.**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA.

Present: Mr. Akshay Bhan, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Dhruv Dewan, Advocate
Mr. Vipul Joshi, Advocate
Ms. Harshita Choubey, Advocate
Ms. Sharon Mathew, Advocate
Mr. Sushant Kareer, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

This order shall dispose of 9 connected writ petitions bearing
CWP No. 7747 of 2020, CWP No. 7748 of 2020, CWP No. 7749 of 2020,
CWP No. 7750 of 2020, CWP No. 7751 of 2020, CWP No. 7752 of 2020,
CWP No. 7753 of 2020, CWP No. 7754 of 2020 and CWP No. 7804 of 2020
as the issues involved in these petitions are common.

Mr. Akshay Bhan, Senior Advocate appearing on behalf of the
petitioners, while arguing the lead case i.e. CWP No. 7747 of 2020, has
submitted that the action taken by respondent No. 3 is without providing any
opportunity of hearing is patently illegal and against the principles of natural
justice.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 and brought to
the notice of the Court that similar controversy has already been decided by

this Court in CWP No. 7719 of 2020 titled as Sanjay Mittal and others Vs. State of Haryana and others on 03.06.2020. He has prayed that all the nine writ petitions may also be disposed in terms of the orders passed in CWP No. 7719 of 2020 decided on 03.06.2020.

We have heard counsel for the parties and after perusal of the available record and the fact that the similar controversy has already been adjudicated upon on 03.06.2020 in aforementioned CWP No. 7719 of 2020, therefore, all these writ petitions are hereby disposed of in terms of order passed in CWP No. 7719 of 2020.

Photocopy of this order be placed in the files of the connected cases.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

June 5, 2019
Ess Kay

(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	/	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	/	No