

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CRM-M-13959-2020  
Date of Decision: 18.06.2020**

\*\*\*\*

Rohit

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)**

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking regular bail in case FIR No.18, dated 17.01.2019, under Sections 294, 323, 324, 307 and 506 of the IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Ganaur, Sonapat.

[2]. With reference to the noting made in the last order passed on 05.06.2020, Ld. State counsel submits that the instructions regarding medico-legal nature of the injury suffered by the injured Kali Ram, are not available to him. He also submits that in any case the MLR of the victim has already been led into evidence in the form of Exhibit PW-8/D.

[3]. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has forwarded a copy of the victim's original Injury Report, from which, it transpires that "one incised wound with fresh bleeding was found which was apparently caused by the use of a sharp weapon". There was, however, no mention about the nature

of injury, at that stage.

[4]. Attention of the Court is thereafter drawn to the statement of the concerned Doctor in his deposition to the effect that he subsequently gave his opinion about the injury 'being dangerous to life' on 25.01.2019, “on Police request”.

[5]. At any rate, the injury inflicted otherwise does not fall into the category of “grievous” by nature.

[6]. It transpires that in the meantime, most of the private prosecution witnesses including the complainant and his brother, i.e., the injured Kali Ram have already been examined. The petitioner has already undergone detention well in excess of 1½ years by now, since 04.02.2019 and expeditious completion of trial at this stage, appears to be unlikely on account of prevailing Covid-19 Pandemic.

[7]. In view of the aforesaid circumstances and without commenting on the merits of the case or the final outcome of the trial at this stage, further detention of the petitioner for an indefinite period is not warranted and he is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to the appropriate terms and conditions to the satisfaction of the Ld. Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

[8]. Disposed off.

18.06.2020

Bhumika

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)  
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No