

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(110)

CWP No. 7714-2020

Date of Decision : 04.06.2020

Ramesh Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The petition has been taken for hearing through video conference due to Covid-19 pandemic.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking direction to respondent No. 3 to sanction the mutation in favour of the petitioner keeping in view the mutual partition, which has already been effected by the parties concerned and the same has already been affirmed by respondent No. 3 on 13.02.2020.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner argues that though there is no other requirement to be completed at the hands of the petitioner but respondent No. 3 is sitting over the matter and is not sanctioning the mutation. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that

the petitioner has already submitted an application on 07.02.2020

(Annexure P-2) and thereafter, another application for sanctioning of the mutation was submitted on 20.05.2020 (Annexure P-3), which are still pending consideration with respondent No. 3 and petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No. 3 to decide the claim of the petitioner for the sanction of the mutation as per the partition approve in a time bound manner by passing appropriate order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who has also joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection for passing appropriate order on the application of the petitioner dated 20.05.2020 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and the claim being made by the petitioner, respondent No. 3 is directed to decide the application of the petitioner dated 20.05.2020 (Annexure P-3) by passing a speaking order within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

June 04, 2020
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*
Whether reportable? *No*