

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

206

CRM-M-14035-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 26.11.2020

RAKESH KUMAR MITTAL

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Himmat Singh Deol, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.81 dated 16.05.2020, under Sections 420, 465, 468, 471 & 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Anaj Mandi, Patiala, district Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner, who is dealing in the business of sale purchase of second hand cars, are that he had sold a car to the complainant and had also furnished a receipt for deposit of the road tax but it was found that road tax had not been deposited and the receipt was fake. He further contends that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.

A coordinate Bench of this Court, by the order dated 04.06.2020, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Mandeep Singh, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 04.06.2020 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

26.11.2020

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No