

CRM-M-13872-2020 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13872-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 3rd June, 2020

Soni Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present Mr. Pardeep Kumar Kapila, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Suveer Sheokand, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court for grant of anticipatory bail in the light of registration of FIR No.143 dated 13.08.2019, at Police Station City Samana, District Patiala, under Section 22 of NDPS Act, 1985, against him.

As per the allegations against the petitioner, recovery of 40 thousand capsules of tramadol Hydrochloride have been effected from the car owned by the petitioner from a plot which again is the ownership of the petitioner. Petitioner has been involved in other two FIRs also, in which although the counsel for the petitioner states that he has been acquitted.

Counsel for the State, on the other hand, informs the Court that the application for anticipatory bail i.e. CRM-M-249-2020 preferred by co-accused Ranjit Singh, who is brother of the petitioner, stands dismissed by this Court on 16.01.2020, who was on a better footing than the petitioner as

CRM-M-13872-2020 (O&M)

in his case, only registration certificate of a motorcycle and his driving licence were to recover from the spot. He, therefore, contends that the petitioner does not deserve the benefit of bail as prayed for.

Having considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the large quantity recovered, which has been effected from the car owned by the petitioner, which was parked in his plot, does not entitle the petitioner to the concession of anticipatory bail as prayed for.

The petition, therefore, stands dismissed.

3rd June, 2020
Harish

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No