

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**204**

**CRM-M-13816-2019  
Date of decision: 24.01.2020**

Varinderpal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI**

Present : Mr. Satish Kumar, Advocate for  
Mr. Daljit Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, D.A.G., Punjab  
for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*

**ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J (ORAL)**

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short, "Cr.P.C") for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.117 dated 26.10.2018 registered under Sections 452, 324, 325, 148, 149, 506 and 427 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Verowal, District Tarn Taran.

While issuing notice of motion on 27.03.2019, Coordinate Bench of this Court had granted the interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner with direction to join the investigation but the petitioner did not join the investigation and he was again directed to join the investigation vide order dated 09.12.2019.

Learned State Counsel has appeared on behalf of the respondent-State and opposed the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the

petitioner was falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner has joined the investigation. Nothing is to be recovered from him and his custodial interrogation is not required.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from investigating officer, has acknowledged that in compliance with order dated 09.12.2019 read with order dated 27.03.2019 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and submitted that his custodial interrogation is not required for effecting any further recovery.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required in the case but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am of the considered view that the petitioner deserves the concession of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, the petition is allowed and order dated 27.03.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation again if and as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions enumerated in Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C., failing which the protection of anticipatory bail order shall not be available to him.

**24.01.2020**

Vinay

**(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |