

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

(1)

CWP No.7678 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: June 10, 2020

Pinki Rani and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

(2)

CWP No.7776 of 2020 (O&M)

Kumari Pramod and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners in CWP No.7678 of 2020.

Mr. Kuldeep Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioners in CWP No.7776 of 2020.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. AG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

By this common order, this court proposes to dispose of above

captioned two writ petitions i.e. **CWP No.7678 of 2020 titled as “Pinky Rani and another vs. State of Haryana and another”** and **CWP No.7776 of 2020 titled as “Kumari Pramod and another vs. State of Haryana and others”** as a common question of law is involved in both the cases.

Both these writ petitions have been filed seeking a direction to be issued to the respondents-State to call the petitioners for scrutiny of documents and take their viva-voce for the post of Staff Nurse.

The facts, as taken from CWP No.7776 of 2020, are that the petitioners herein are duly qualified to be appointed as Staff Nurse, pursuant to the advertisement having been issued on 19.06.2015, to be recruited in the Department of Health, Haryana. It is submitted that having applied for the said post, the petitioners appeared for the written examination which was conducted on 15.01.2017, however, the respondent-Commission has not called them for document verification.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners would argue that despite being eligible for appointment to the said post, they could not appear for document verification, as no notice was served upon them. It is contended that they approached the respondent-Commission by giving a detailed representation on 11.03.2020 and 12.03.2020, but the same has not been considered.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents-State very fairly submits that a similar case already stands disposed of by this court in **CWP No.7617 of 2020 titled as “Devender vs. Haryana Staff Selection Commission”** wherein, it had been stated by the counsel for the Commission that they will consider the representation of the

petitioners to be called for documents verification within a period of three weeks and the decision would be conveyed to the petitioners thereafter and the instant writ petitions can be disposed of in the same terms.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the grievance of the petitioners will be sorted out in this fashion and they do not want to press the writ petitions any further.

Disposed of accordingly, in terms of the decision rendered in **CWP No.7617 of 2020.**

June 10, 2020
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No