

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

208

**CRM-M-13685-2020 (O & M)
Date of Decision: June 15, 2020**

SHER SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. J. S. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.53 dated 09.04.2019, under Section 22 of the NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Division No.6, Jalandhar Commissionerate.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the alleged recovery of 41 injections of Buprenorphine each containing 2 ml. has been effected from the petitioner. He is in custody for over 1 year and 02 months and is not involved in any other case. He has relied upon the judgements of the Coordinate Benches of this Court in the case of **Dalwinder Singh versus State of Punjab**, passed in CRM-M-10753- 2019 on 03.05.2019 whereby the petitioner therein, who was in possession of 15 injections of Buprenorphine containing 2 ml each, was granted regular bail after having put in about a year in custody; in the case of **Kamaljeet Singh @ Kamal versus State of Punjab**, passed in CRM-M-4538 of 2019 on 13.03.2019, whereby the petitioner therein,

who was in possession of 12 injections of Buprenorphine containing 2 ml each, was granted regular bail after having put in about nine months in custody and in the case of **Kuldeep Singh versus Union Territory, Chandigarh**, passed in CRM-M-1400-2018 on 19.01.2018 whereby the petitioner therein, who was in possession of 12 injections of Buprenorphine containing 2 ml each, was granted regular bail after having put in about three months in custody.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Jagdish Singh states that although the charges have been framed but no prosecution witness has been examined.

Heard through video conferencing.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, the orders of the Coordinate Benches of this Court, the petitioner being in custody for over 01 year and 02 months, he is not involved in any other case, Covid-19 Pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

June 15, 2020

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No