

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-13767-2020(O&M)
Date of Decision : June 10, 2020

Sunita

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present : Mr. Hitesh Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner while praying for bail submitted that the son of the petitioner late Manjeet Singh was the proprietor of the medical store namely “M/s Guru Nanak Medical Store”. After his demise, his widow used to manage the shop. On the date of the incident, the petitioner was managing the shop when she was picked up by the police and falsely roped. It is further stated that she is a patient of Hypertension and Cardiovascular disease.

Learned counsel for the State while opposing the bail submitted that the licence is only for the premises whereas she was arrested while she was outside the said premises and the licence is in the name of one Dinesh Kumar.

Heard.

A perusal of Annexure P-3 does show that the son of the petitioner is the proprietor of this firm. Moreover, the petitioner disputes that she was picked up from outside and not from shop. In these circumstances, it is yet to be ascertained as to whether the case would fall under the Drugs and Cosmetic Act or under the NDPS Act.

Taking into account the above facts as well as that the petitioner being a lady, the present petition is allowed and she is ordered to be released on regular bail on furnishing of bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of concerned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

June 10, 2020
ajay-1

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No