

108.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-3324-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.06.2020

TASLIMA AND ANR

... Petitioners

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in this criminal writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide adequate police protection/security to the petitioners and further respondent No.3 be directed not to harass and threaten the petitioners at the behest of respondents No.4 to 10.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that both the petitioners are Muslim by religion. Petitioner No.1-Taslina has attained the age of majority so as to perform *nikah*, whereas petitioner No.2-Shehbaz Khan is of about 20 years and 8 months. Since they have performed *nikah* against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 10, they are apprehending threat to their life and liberty. The private respondents are not accepting their *nikah* and are adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal

means. Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, they have moved a representation dated 28.05.2020 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Faridabad.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Without going into the validity of the marriage of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Faridabad to take appropriate remedial measures on the representation dated 28.05.2020 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners as warranted by law.

In the meantime, necessary order be passed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

02.06.2020
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No