

104.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-3315-2020

Date of decision: 02.06.2020

SHARDA DEVI AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Ram Kumar Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in this criminal writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of appropriate writ/mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and other family members at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has argued that respondent No.4-Renu is working in Haryana Railways police and presently posted at GRP Ambala. On account of an incident, an FIR No.96, dated 15.05.2020, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 452, 506 of IPC was registered against the private respondents at Police Station Shahzadpur, District Ambala. After the said FIR, respondents are extending threats to the petitioners. Respondent No.4, who is working in the railways, is threatening time and again that

either the petitioners should withdraw the aforesaid FIR and in case, they do not withdraw the FIR, the petitioners can be implicated in a false case.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Except a representation dated 20.05.2020 (Annexure P-6), there is no such material on record to accept the contention of the counsel for the petitioners.

Considering the nature of allegations in the FIR, this Court does not find any reason for interference at this stage.

Dismissed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

02.06.2020
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No