

CRM-M-13648-2020

Date of decision : June 15, 2020

Darshan Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILLPresent: Mr. I.S. Pabla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

LISA GILL, J.

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to the outbreak of the pandemic, COVID-19.

The petitioner prays for bail pending trial in FIR No.174 dated 18.04.2019 under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B IPC registered at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra.

It is submitted that allegations in the FIR are of receipt of Rs.3,00,000/- initially by the three co-accused from the complainant for securing a job for his brother-in-law. There is a mention of return of Rs.50,000/- to the complainant and spending of Rs.50,000/- collectively by all the co-accused. A sum of Rs.1,00,000/- each is stated to be with the other co-accused, who are still at large. The petitioner, it is stated, is in custody since 27.12.2019 and the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. stands presented. The petitioner, it is stated, is not involved in any other criminal case. It is, thus, prayed that this petition be allowed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Mohinder Singh, verifies that the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. stands presented qua the present petitioner. It further verified that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that petitioner is likely to abscond or that he is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

No useful purpose shall be served by keeping the petitioner incarcerated any longer. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances noted above but without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, it is considered just and expedient to allow this petition.

Consequently, the petitioner be released on bail pending trial subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds and heavy surety to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

It is reiterated that none of the observations made herein above are a reflection on the merits of the case and shall have no bearing on the trial.

June 15, 2020

rts

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Whether reportable

:

(Lisa Gill)

Judge

Yes/No

Yes/No