

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-13599-2020

Date of Decision: 15.06.2020

Bhagwan Singh @ Godha

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Manjot Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pardeep Parkash Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

This petition has been filed under the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of 'regular bail' to the petitioner in case FIR no. 99 dated 12.02.2017, registered at Police Station Ratia, District Fatehabad, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 22-C and 27-A of the NDPS Act, 1985 and Sections 18-A and 18-C of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR and is alleged to have been named in a disclosure statement made by one of those named in the FIR, i.e. by one Hemraj, to the effect that the contraband (allegedly) recovered from Hemraj was purchased by him from the petitioner and two other persons.

Learned State counsel while opposing the petition factually does not deny the above and upon query submits that though the petitioner was named as an accused in two other criminal cases (not under the NDPS Act), he was acquitted in both cases.

Keeping in view the above, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be admitted to bail upon his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

June 15, 2020
nitin/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.