

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CRM-M-13679 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: June 16, 2020

Vijant

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, DAG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.181 dated 04.09.2019, under Sections 307, 323, 34, 354-B, 506 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Barauda, District Sonipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is argued that initially the petitioner was released on regular bail and subsequently, on addition of Section 307 of Indian Penal Code by the police, he was again arrested on 17.02.2020. It is also contended that investigation is complete, as the challan has been presented and conclusion of trial will take sufficient time,

therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, however, does not dispute the fact that investigation is complete, as the challan has been presented.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take sufficient time and in view of the facts that initially the petitioner was regular bail and after addition of Section 307 of IPC, he has been in custody since 17.02.2020 and that given the Covid-19 pandemic situation, the courts are not functioning at full strength, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, anything observed or said by this court is only for the purpose of deciding the instant petition for grant of regular bail and the same shall have no affect on the merits of the case.

June 16, 2020

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No