

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-13489 of 2020

Date of Decision: 11.06.2020

Sandeep

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajender Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks the concession of 'regular bail', under the provisions of Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. upon FIR no.587, dated 17.12.2018, having been registered against him, alleging therein the commission of offence punishable under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468, 471 of the IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already been in custody for about 5 months with no witness so far examined by the trial court and that there is no other criminal case registered against the petitioner.

He further submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that he forged/got forged a thumb impression on a 'treasury *challan*'/stamp paper and consequently, with the trial likely to take a long time as yet, he deserves the

concession of bail.

Learned State counsel, while opposing the bail application, however could not deny the factual position, that as per his instructions there is no other criminal case registered against the petitioner and that he has been in custody since December 25, 2019.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, the petition is allowed, with the petitioner ordered to be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

June 11, 2020
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.