

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 13452 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.07.2020

Rohiot @ Romio

-Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

-Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Jaivir Yadav, Advocate,
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.154 dated 04.05.2020 registered under Sections 147, 149, 323, 326, 506 IPC at Police Station Bilaspur, District Gurugram.

On 01.06.2020, following order was passed:-

“The case has been taken up for hearing through video-conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegations against the petitioner are of exhorting and calling his friends. The FIR has been

lodged against 14 persons including Ajay @ Aashu and Akash to whom specific injuries are attributed. Ajay @ Aashu and Akash have been arrested and they are in custody since 04.05.2020.

Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the prayer on the ground that in addition to the injuries on the neck and back of the head attributed to Ajay @ Aashu and Akash, there are numerous aberrations on the person of injured.

Notice of motion for 21.07.2020.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation on 08.06.2020 at 11.00 AM and in the event of his arrest, he shall be enlarged on the interim bail, subject to his furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sajjan Kumar states that in pursuance of aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required in further investigation of the case.

Learned counsel for the complainant, however, opposes the bail on the ground that though the petitioner is not the author of any injury on the person of complainant, but he has inflicted simple injury to one Rahul.

Having considered the argument, I deem it appropriate to confirm the order dated 01.06.2020.

However, the petitioner shall keep on joining the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Officer.

July 21, 2020

Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes/No |