

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-3212-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision :22.07.2020

Rachna and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Sahil Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus for directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6 as well as their friends and relatives.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that after the issuance of notice of motion and grant of interim protection, there has been an amicable settlement between the petitioners and respondent Nos.4 to 6 and in the circumstances, the petitioners no longer have any threat to their life and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6, or their friends and relatives, therefore, do not wish to pursue the writ petition, consequentially pray for permission to withdraw the same.

The same is not opposed to by learned DAG, Haryana.

5. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

July 22, 2020

‘PS/Rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*