

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.13068 of 2020(O&M)

Date of decision: 28.5.2020

Vikram Jeet

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Suvir Sheokand, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of bail in anticipation of arrest in FIR No.06 dated 08.01.2020 registered with Police Station City-II, Abohar, District Fazilka for offence punishable under Section 382 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short 'IPC').

Counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is not named in the FIR. He has been indicted in the crime on the basis of statement made by co-accused Monu from whom taxi bearing No.PB-04-8585 has been recovered. Monu has been released on regular bail by the Court below. The petitioner is ready to join investigation and co-operate throughout.

Counsel representing State of Punjab has opposed the prayer for grant of bail with the submissions that in the supplementary statement got recorded by the complainant on the same very day of lodging of FIR,

the petitioner was specifically nominated as one of the accused who had approached the complainant for hiring taxi. It is further argued that the third accused has also been nominated in the case. The driving licence, mobile phone and wallet (purse) of the complainant are yet to be recovered.

Perusal of allegations raised in the FIR would reveal that the petitioner has not alleged hostility/enmity against him by the complainant. In the FIR, there is specific reference of two persons having approached the complainant for hiring taxi and third one joining later when the two accused stopped vehicle near Fitness Gym and had gone to a house where they stayed for half an hour till 8:30 PM.

Taking into consideration the seriousness of allegations against the petitioner, he does not deserve to be extended benefit of bail in anticipation of arrest, a concession to be allowed in special circumstances where the Court is convinced that a false case has been registered on account of political vendetta or extraneous considerations.

Dismissed.

MAY 28, 2020

‘D. Gulati’

(REKHA MITTAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : yes/no

Whether reportable : yes/no