

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-13103 of 2020

Date of Decision: 20.07.2020

Amit

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar Lathwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Pursuant to the order dated 17.06.2020, an affidavit of Shri Virender Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Head Quarters, Sonipat, has been filed by learned State counsel, in which the details of the petitioners' phone calls with co-accused Surender have been mentioned, with it also stated that the petitioners' father took on *Sapurdari*, a vehicle involved in smuggling liquor in another case.

As regards the petitioners' lifestyle, it is stated that he and his family own 4½ acres of land in District Sonipat, but are living at Gohana City on rent and their source of income is not known other than from those 4½ acres, by which it is difficult to understand as to how they can afford to live in a city/town.

Though learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the village of the petitioner is only 12 kms away from Gohana, however, keeping in view all the factors together, I see no reason to entertain this petition, which is consequently dismissed, with the interim order vacated.

However, it is made absolutely clear that dismissal of the petition will not reflect the opinion of this court on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner and his co-accused, all such observations having been made only in the context of a petition filed under the provisions of Section 438 of the Cr.P.C.

July 20, 2020
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No