

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.13133 of 2020
Date of decision: 9th June, 2020

Sachin

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Deepak Agnihotri, Advocates for the petitioner.
Mr. Baljinder S. Virk, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondents/State.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing on account of outbreak of pandemic COVID-19.

Petitioner, who is in custody in case bearing FIR No.13 dated 10.01.2020 under Section 20 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 pertaining to Police Station Civil Lines, Jind, has come up in this first regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C.

The brief allegations are that on 10.01.2020 on the basis of secret information police held a naka when a car make Brezza bearing registration No.HR-31N-2661 came in which three boys were sitting. On stopping the car, the driver disclosed his name as Sachin (present petitioner) and the boy sitting next to him disclosed his name as Badal non-applicant whereas the one at the rear disclosed his name to be Naresh

non-applicant. On search of the vehicle, a bag was recovered and on opening the same 3 kgs and 100 grams of Charas was recovered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner Mr. Deepak Agnihotri, Advocate inter alia contends that the petitioner is in custody since more than six months and that his father has undergone heart surgery and there is no-one to look after him and prayed for grant of bail taking the plea that trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future.

Learned State counsel Mr. Baljinder S. Virk, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana though has not displaced the facts but has vehemently opposed the bail on the grounds that commercial quantity of Charas has been recovered and if allowed bail there is every likelihood that the petitioner might abscond.

Going through the submission of the two sides, commercial quantity of Charas has been recovered from the car which was being driven by the petitioner and therefore, keeping in view heinousness of the offence and seriousness of allegations disentails him to any relief. The petition stands dismissed accordingly.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

June 9, 2020

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No