

Amandeep Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTALPresent: Mr. J.S. Lalli, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG Punjab

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that investigation in this case is complete and charges have also been framed.

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 91 dated 19.08.2019 registered under Sections 392 read with Section 34 IPC (charges framed under sections 379-B, 411 read with Section 34 IPC) at Police Station Division No. 2, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody for more than one year and the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date as only one PW has been examined out of a total of 9 PWs. There is no other criminal case pending/decided against the petitioner and, thus, he deserves to be released on regular bail.

Custody certificate dated 01.09.2020 has been submitted in the Court. The same is taken on record. According to this custody certificate, the petitioner has undergone actual custody of 1 year and 08 days and there is no other criminal case pending/decided against him.

Learned State counsel concedes that only one PW out of a total of 9 PWs has been examined.

It is, thus, evident that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date. The petitioner has been in custody for one year and there is no other criminal case pending against him. Thus, I deem it appropriate to grant him regular bail.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

September 02, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No