

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(through video conferencing)**

CRM-M-13109-2020
Decided on : 24.08.2020

Shamin Ahmed

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Lekh Raj Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab
assisted by SI Onkar Singh.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.

Instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.PC for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.157 dated 21.09.2019 registered under sections 21, 22, 26, 61, 85 of NDPS Act 1985 and Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC 1860 at Police Station City Gurdaspur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that false implication of the petitioner in the instant case is evident from the fact that neither was he named in the FIR in question nor was he arrested at the spot along with the alleged contraband. He contends that the petitioner is resident of Uttar Pradesh and is working as an employee with co-accused Manish Sharma. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 29.11.2019.

Per contra, learned State counsel has opposed the prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner for grant of regular bail. In the reply filed by the State, it has been stated that though the petitioner is a resident of Uttar Pradesh and was not arrested on the spot, but he is a drug dealer, who had

been supplying drugs by sending the same through courier to various persons including the co-accused in the state of Punjab. She has further apprised this Court that the petitioner is the main accused and kingpin, whose name and role figured during the interrogation of co-accused Saurav Garg and Manish Sharma. Not only this, in the reply filed on behalf of the State it has also been stated that a recovery of 1500 injections of Pantalap was effected from him on his arrest on 27.11.2019. She has further apprised this Court on instructions from SI Onkar Singh that charges have not yet been framed.

Heard.

Prima facie, there are serious allegations against the petitioner in the FIR in question of being a drug dealer, which does not entitle him to the concession of regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

24.08.2020
sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No