

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-13061-2020 (O &M)

Date of Decision: 30.07.2020.

Pradeep @ Chhotu

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: ----
Mr.Saurabh Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Saurabh Mohunta, DAG Haryana

Lalit Batra , J . (Oral)

Case has been taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing.

CRM-18366-2020

Application is allowed as prayed for.

CRM-18367-2020

Application is allowed as prayed for. Annexure P-2 is taken on record.

CRM-M-13061-2020

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is for grant of regular bail to petitioner-Pradeep @ Chhotu in case F.I.R. No.290 dated 16.10.2019 under Sections 201,324,379-B,452 and 506 IPC read with Section 34, IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station IMT, Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner has no nexus whatsoever with the alleged offence. He further urges that the complainant-injured namely Dheeraj Malik has already been

examined by the trial Court but he has not identified the petitioner as one of the accused. He further urges that petitioner is in custody since 25.10.2019 and since trial would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody further, thus, he may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing instant petition has vehemently argued that keeping in view seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have carefully gone through the documents placed on record at the instance of petitioner.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is in custody since 25.10.2019 and since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further, thus, he deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, instant petition for grant of regular bail moved by the petitioner **Pradeep @ Chhotu** is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Rohtak as the case may be.

July 30, 2020
neenu

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No