

103

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-3154-2020

Date of Decision: May 22, 2020

Nancy and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr.Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Pardeep Parkash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Sarvesh Kumar, Advocate
for respondent Nos.4 to 6.

.....

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

The present petition is filed for direction to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

Issue notice of motion.

Mr.Pardeep Parkash Chahar, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3, whereas Mr.Sarvesh Kumar, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for respondent Nos.4 to 6 states that petitioner No.2 should provide some kind of economic security to petitioner No.1 as they don't trust on petitioner No.2 to look after her. However, this Court cannot go into the same in the present proceedings.

Without going into the merits of the case, the present writ

petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, i.e. Superintendent of Police, District Yamunanagar, to look into the threat perception and take appropriate action, if so required.

May 22, 2020
meenuss

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |