

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI
2020.05.28 17:29
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

CRM-M- 13053 of 2020

Date of Decision: 28.5.2020

Jaspal Singh

---Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

**Present: Mr.G.S.Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner**

Rekha Mittal, J.

Petitioner prays for grant of bail in anticipation of arrest in FIR No. 18 dated 2.3.2020 registered at Police Station, Sadar Raikot District Ludhiana Rural for offence under Section 61 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 (in short "the Act").

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Suveer Sheokand, Addl. A.G., Punjab appears on behalf of State of Punjab.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the alleged recovery has already been effected. The petitioner is sought to be indicted in the crime on the basis of statement made by the accused, arrested in the case.

Counsel representing State of Punjab would inform that in addition to registration of three more cases under the Act, there is one case under the NDPS Act registered against the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner, in reply, would concede to registration of three more cases under the Act but has expressed his inability

to confirm about registration of case under NDPS Act.

Taking into consideration that the petitioner is involved in cases under the Act and NDPS Act on earlier occasions coupled with statement made by his co-accused from whom huge recovery of liquor has been effected, the petitioner does not deserve to be extended benefit of bail in anticipation of arrest, a concession to be allowed in special circumstances where the court is convinced that a false case has been registered on account of political vendetta or extraneous considerations.

Dismissed.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

28.5.2020

PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No