

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.202

CRM-M-12844-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision : 7.8.2020

Malminder Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. M.S. Virk, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sukhwinder Kamboj, Advocate, for the complainant.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.26 dated 29.2.2020, registered at Police Station Division No.4, District Patiala, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI-Mukhtiar Singh states that the petitioner has jointed investigation, pursuant to order dated 22.5.2020.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that this is not the first time that the petitioner has participated in furnishing of false sureties. He is part of a gang and thus, custodial interrogation is necessary.

The allegation against the petitioner is that he attested the surety bonds given by some persons to secure bail of an accused. The said persons impersonated the owners of the property. The evidence in this regard is the bail bonds and surety bonds submitted and the same are already in the possession of the investigating agency. The allegation that the petitioner is part of a gang is not borne out from the FIR or any other evidence.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted

RAMANDEEP SINGH
2020.08.07 15:43
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

to the petitioner vide order dated 22.5.2020, is made absolute subject to

compliance of conditions enshrined under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 by him.

CRM-11093-2020

To be listed on 19.8.2020 for payment of Court fee.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

7.8.2020
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasonedYes / No

Whether ReportableYes/ No