

CRM-M-12825-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12825-2020
Date of Decision:27.05.2020

Shobha @ Shobha Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Vijay Pratap Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Case is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner has filed this third petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.737 dated 28.10.2019, registered at Police Station Assandh, District Karnal, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 427, 452 and 506 IPC (Section 307 IPC was also added but it was deleted after investigation and Section 326 IPC was added later on).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that co-accused, Sher Singh @ Inderjeet Singh and Lakhwinder Singh @ Lakha have already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 18.02.2020 passed in CRM-M-3329-2020 and, therefore, the petitioner is also entitled to the benefit of bail. He further contends that the injury attributed to the petitioner is on three fingers of right hand of the injured and the petitioner has been in custody for the last 06 months and 21 days.

CRM-M-12825-2020

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana, has accepted notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

At this stage, Mr. G.S.Sandhu, Advocate, has also appeared on behalf of the complainant.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for complainant opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail and points out that there are two other cases pending against the petitioner. However, they admit that the injury attributed to the petitioner is not on the vital part of the injured. They also does not dispute that the petitioner has been in custody for the last more than six months.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

The petitioner has been in custody since 06.11.2019. The trial of the case would take time to conclude. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

27.05.2020

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No