

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M- 13365-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: January 31, 2020

Alka

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Nafees Ahmad Khan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Chopra, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Rosi, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed for cancellation of bail ,
which was allowed to respondent No.2 vide order dated 26.02.2019.

A reading of order granting bail would reflect that the bail had
been allowed to Soni-respondent No.2 herein on an affidavit that had been
submitted by the prosecutrix on 20.10.2018 completely absolving Soni of
any wrong doing.

But now the instant petition has been filed for cancellation of
said bail allowed to respondent No.2 without being able to establish as to
how respondent No.2 has violated any of the terms of the bail allowed.
Moreover as per the submission made by learned counsel for the

respondent-State, the trial has almost concluded, with only two official witnesses left to be summoned on 21.02.2020.

Consequently, without there being any evidence, as to how the said Soni has violated the terms and conditions of the bail order, no ground is made out to cancel the bail allowed to respondent No.2.

Petition stands dismissed.

January 31, 2020
seema

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No