

219

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.12681 of 2020(O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.11.2020**

Sandeep

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.19 dated 30.01.2018 registered under Sections 306/34 IPC at Police Station Julana.

The FIR was registered at the instance of Santosh mother of the deceased Parveen. As per allegations, son of the complainant Parveen was married to Sonu daughter of Hawa Singh in the year 2013. A son took birth from this wedlock. Sonu went to her parental house after quarreling with the complainant

for which Panchayat was convened. Family members of Sonu i.e. Dhanpati mother-in-law of Parveen, Sandeep brother-in-law of Parveen, Sonu wife of Parveen, Rohtash, Sanju, Phullar and Hawa Singh used to give threats to Parveen for false implications in some case. Parveen committed suicide with the help of parna/cloth in the fields. A suicide note was recovered after more than one month in which allegations have been made that Sonu was having illicit relations with the petitioner.

Sonu Kumari filed CRM-M No.15199 of 2020 in which regular bail was granted vide order dated 30.06.2020. Petitioner is in custody since 30.01.2020.

The factual position of the case could not be disputed by learned State counsel, but he has opposed the bail on the ground that the complicity of the petitioner is evident from the suicide note. Challan has already been presented, but charges have not been framed so far. Now the case is fixed for 17.12.2020.

Keeping in view the custody of the petitioner since 30.01.2020, stage of the trial, the situation arising out due to COVID-19 pandemic and without adverting to the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/concerned Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove, shall be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

17.11.2020	(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
<i>Prince</i>	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No