

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.12583 of 2020
Date of Decision: 04.06.2020**

Sandeep Verma

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Jagtar Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, AAG, Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.50 dated 03.03.2020 registered under Sections 186, 189, 353, 500 IPC and under Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Schedules Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 at Police Station Kalan Wali, District Sirsa.

Petitioner is a Municipal Councilor. FIR has been registered on the complaint of Sub Divisional Magistrate in the context of using unparliamentary words by the petitioner in the

name of caste of the complainant and further threatening him of dire consequences. Complainant has alleged that the petitioner has used unparliamentary language thereby hurting the dignity of a prominent person of Sub Division in the presence of his gun-man and other staff/Court while he was performing his public duty.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that issue was gone out of proportion. He only submitted a file of Ravi Das and Pooja Rani for registration of marriage. No such incident has taken place in the manner as projected by the complainant. Petitioner is in custody since 03.03.2020. Challan has already been presented.

Learned State counsel on instructions has admitted the factum of presentation of challan, but further states that at this stage, the stand of the petitioner cannot be appreciated.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, I am of the view that since challan has already been presented and the petitioner is in custody since 03.03.2020, therefore, without meaning anything on merits of the case, it would be just and appropriate to grant regular bail to the petitioner subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove shall be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

June 04, 2020	(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
<i>Prince</i>	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No