

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-12603-2020**

**Date of decision:17.11.2020**

Krishna Sahni @ Ladu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present:- Sh. Vikas Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. S.S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.  
Mr. Bhisham Kumar Majoka, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)**

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

**CRM-10817-2020**

This is an application for grant of exemption from filing the court fee/process fee.

Disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to deposit the court fee on-line forthwith.

**CRM-22652-2020**

This is an application for placing on record Annexure P-8 to P-10.

Notice of the application.

Mr. Bhisham Kumar Majoka, Advocate appears on behalf of the complainant and states that he has no objection if the present application is allowed.

For the reasons given in the application, the same is allowed and Annexures P-8 to P-10 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

**CRM-M-12603-2020**

Through this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.260 dated 09.10.2019 registered

under Sections 307,323,506,148,149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Moti Nagar, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that though the injuries attributed to the petitioner are not on the vital part yet a compromise has been effected between the complainant, injured and the petitioner. In support of such assertion, the learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record an affidavit dated 04.09.2020 of the complainant Gurpreet Singh alias Goldy along with affidavits of injured Taranjit Singh and Gaurav both dated 04.09.2020.

At this stage, learned State counsel on the instructions from ASI Dhanwat Singh, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, does not dispute the factum of the compromise between the parties.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been in custody since 13.10.2019. Trial of the case would take time to conclude. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

**17.11.2020**

ishwar

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)**  
**JUDGE**

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether reasoned/speaking? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |