

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 10.12.2020

1. CRM-M No.12371 of 2020(O&M)

Roshan Lal and another Petitioners
Vs
State of Punjab Respondent

2. CRM-M No.11386 of 2020(O&M)

Sahib Chhabra and another Petitioners
Vs
State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, Asst., AG, Punjab.

Mr. Gurvinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Vide this common order, CRM-M No.12371 of 2020 titled Roshan Lal and another Vs. State of Punjab and CRM-M No.11386 of 2020 titled Sahib Chhabra and another Vs. State of Punjab are being disposed of.

Petitioners seek grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.1 dated 28.02.2020 registered under Section 406 and 498-A IPC at Police Station NRI, District Bathinda.

Petitioners in CRM-M No.12371 of 2020 are parents-in-law of the complainant. Petitioners in CRM-M No.11386 of 2020 are sister and brother-in-law of Sandeep Kumar (husband of the complainant).

Vide orders dated 14.05.2020 and 18.05.2020 passed in the aforesaid petitions, the petitioners therein were directed to join the investigation before the investigating Officer. In the event of their appearances, they were directed to be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation in pursuance of interim direction(s) made by the Co-ordinate Bench.

This fact has not been disputed by learned State counsel on instructions. Recovery has been effected from Puneet Rani and Sahib Chhabra. This is so recorded in the order dated 28.07.2020 passed in CRM-M No.12371 of 2020. Learned State counsel further submits that the petitioners are not required for any further investigation in the case. Challan is yet to be filed.

Learned counsel for the complainant however submits

that though the petitioners have joined the investigation to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer, but this matter can be tried before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre for striking out of an amicable resolution of dispute.

In view of facts on record, interim orders are made absolute. Petitioners are directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. However, parties would be at liberty to strike out amicable resolution of dispute at an appropriate stage.

Both the petitions stand disposed of.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

December 10, 2020

Prince

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No