

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 28.05.2020

CRM-M No.12274 of 2020

Sukhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. P.P.S.Duggall, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Vermani, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajat Dogra, Advocate, for the complainant.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, Mr. Vermani on instructions from ASI Gurcharan Singh states that the first information report has been cancelled, which removes the base of the petition.

On the other hand, Mr. Duggall insists that in this turn of events in case of arrest, the petitioner should have the benefit of 10 days' advance notice. This prayer appears to me to be justified and accordingly a direction is issued. However, this would not mean that the petitioner will have protection against any other crime in which he may be involved other than the present one. To clarify, this order will relate to FIR No.222 dated 13.11.2019 registered at Police Station Guruhar Sahai, District Ferozepur.

With this direction, the petition stands disposed of.

28.05.2020
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No