

206-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12224-2020

Date of Decision: 24.08.2020

Gurtehal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Viranjeet Singh Mahal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1]. Heard both sides.

[2]. Perused reply.

[3]. It transpires that the petitioner happens to be a Policeman. He was initially not named in the FIR but his name transpired from the supplementary statement given by the complainant, who, however, did not disclose, from which source he had learnt about the name of the petitioner as one of the culprits. No recovery is required to be made from the petitioner, as such, his custodial interrogation is not required.

[4]. For the aforesaid reason, the interim bail granted to the petitioner earlier, is, hereby, confirmed.

[5]. Disposed off.

24.08.2020

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No