

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

ANURADHA
2020.10.29 16:55
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

213

CWP-7363-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 26.10.2020

Gram Panchayat Village Mullana

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Brijjender Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G, Haryana.

Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate
for respondent No. 4.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Today, Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Panwar, Advocate has appeared on behalf of MM Continental before this Court and prays that he may be allowed to intervene in the matter and to be heard since he is also a stakeholder. Counsel for the petitioner states that he has no objection if the prayer is allowed.

Prayer made by learned counsel is allowed.

It is not disputed that after this petition was filed, the State has filed a report dated 24.6.2020. Counsel for the petitioner states that it would be necessary for the petitioner to challenge that report also and seeks permission to withdraw this petition with liberty to challenge all subsequent action.

Allowed as prayed for.

Petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh one.

Since the main case has been dismissed as withdrawn, the pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

26.10.2020
anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No