

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

201

CRM-M-12169-2020 (O & M)

Date of Decision: July 06, 2020

GHASITA RAM VS

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.60 dated 20.04.2020, under Sections 420, 406 IPC and Sections 7, 10 and 55 of the Essential Commodities Act, registered at Police Station Radaur, District Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that when his ration depot was checked by the Inspector, Food and Supplies, short fall of about 9 quintals of wheat, 9 litres of mustard oil and 5 kgs of sugar were found and 9 BPL card holders are alleged to have stated that the petitioner had charged them ₹6.50 per kg more than the prescribed price for sugar.

This Court, by the order dated 12.05.2020, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation

and in the event of his arrest, he was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel states that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 12.05.2020 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

July 06, 2020
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No