

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

CRWP No.3050 of 2020(O&M)

Date of decision: 12.5.2020

Suman Rani and another

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to respondent Nos.1 to 3, to protect their (petitioners) lives and liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 6.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab appears on behalf respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and states that there is no threat to the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the behest of respondent Nos. 4 to 6.

Counsel for the petitioners would argue that the petitioners performed marriage after attaining the age of majority. It is submitted that as marriage of petitioner No. 1 with petitioner No. 2 is against wishes of parents of petitioner No.1, they apprehend threat to their lives and liberty. They have also submitted a representation (Annexure P-4) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka seeking necessary protection.

Without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the controversy and going into the question of validity or otherwise of the marriage, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to dispose of representation (Annexure P-4), in accordance with law.

MAY 12, 2020

‘D. Gulati’

(REKHA MITTAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

yes/no

Whether reportable :

yes/no