

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

208

CRWP-3053-2020 with CRM-W-179-2020

DATE OF DECISION: MAY 19, 2020

SUKHVEER KHAN @ SUKHVEER SINGH AND ANR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Although reply, which has been filed by the State, has not been received in the Registry as per the report but counsel for the petitioners acknowledges the fact that he has received copy of the reply through whatsapp. He asserts that the stand, which has been taken by the respondents, makes it clear that parents of both the petitioners now do not have any objection to the relationship of the petitioners and they have acknowledged the factum of their marriage. He, therefore, contends that the State, on the basis of the statements of the petitioners, has categorically stated that they do not want any protection as of now.

Keeping in view the above submissions as made by counsel for the petitioners, the present petition stands disposed of. However, in case of any apprehension on the part of the petitioners, they may approach the competent authority for redressal of their grievance, if any.

May 19, 2020
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No