

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12150-2020
Date of decision: 13.07.2020

Ravi Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.28 dated 05.02.2020 under Sections 363, 366-A, 120-B IPC, P.S. City Batala, District Batala.

The operative part of the order dated 12.05.2020, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Lavinder Sofat, AAG Punjab , accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the event of the arrest of the petitioner in FIR No.28, dated 05.02.2020, registered under Sections 363, 366A, 120B IPC at Police Station City Batala, District Batala, he be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.

The above concession, is however, subject to the conditions as prescribed under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Adjourned to 13.07.2020.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 12.05.2020, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on telephonic instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 12.05.2020, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

13.07.2020

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No